

ZT-5-2009
Private Clubs
West Valley City

This is a proposed amendment to Title 7 of the West Valley City Municipal Code to reflect the changes in state law made by the State Legislature during the 2009 Legislative Session regarding Private Clubs.

The changes include the elimination of private clubs and membership requirements in favor of the following four different types of club licenses:

- Dining Clubs – Maintain at least 50% of their club business from the sale of food, have a portion of the premises used for a dining area, and adequate culinary facilities to serve full meals.
- Equity Clubs - Such as country clubs
- Fraternal Club – Mutual benefit association that is organized under a lodge system such as a fraternal or patriotic club.
- Social Club – Any other club that does not qualify as an equity, fraternal or dining club, such a social drinking club that does less than 50% of its business from the sale of food.

Membership requirements are only applicable to equity and fraternal clubs. Dining and social clubs are open to the public but the club licensee may choose to restrict access to only those who are on the list and/or pay a fee.

Club Licenses would not be permitted in a C-1 zone, would be a conditional use in a C-2 and C-3 zone, and a permitted use in an M Zone.

Legislative changes were not made to the number of club licenses permitted by the State (1/7850 people) or to any of the beer or tavern licenses.

A copy of the proposed text has been attached for your review.

Staff Alternatives:

Approval, subject to the resolution of any issues raised at the public hearing.

Continuance, to allow for the resolution of any issues raised at the public hearing.

Applicant:
West Valley City

Discussion: Jody Knapp presented the application. Terri Mills asked if a tavern is an example of a social club. Jody replied that taverns only sell beer and a social club has no restriction on the type of alcohol sold. Jack Matheson asked if there are any taverns in West Valley City. Jody replied that they are prohibited.

There being no further discussion regarding this application, Chairman Woodruff called for a motion.

Motion: Commissioner Matheson moved for approval.

Commissioner Conder seconded the motion.

Roll call vote:

| | |
|-----------------------|-----|
| Commissioner Conder | Yes |
| Commissioner Matheson | Yes |
| Commissioner Mills | Yes |
| Chairman Woodruff | Yes |

Unanimous -ZT-5-2009– Approved